

PRESENTERS

Hon Robert Fisher QC

Robert Fisher is an arbitrator, mediator and consultant. He has presented papers on the law of evidence at a series of seminars and conferences and co-ordinated judicial commentaries on the Evidence Bill.

The Hon Justice Wild

Justice Wild went to the independent bar in 1976, was appointed Queen's Counsel in 1993, and appointed to the High Court in 1998.

Acknowledgement

Justice Wild would like to acknowledge the valuable assistance of Bridgette Toy-Cronin in researching and writing his sections of materials. Bridgette was formerly a judge's clerk at the High Court, Wellington, and is now studying for an LLM at Harvard on a Frank Knox Scholarship.

The statements and conclusions contained in this booklet are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This booklet has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
1. THE FIVE STEPS EVIDENCE MUST SATISFY	3
Step 1: Is it evidence?	3
Step 2: What is the ultimate fact which this evidence is intended to make more likely or less likely?	3
Step 3: How does the proposed evidence make that fact more likely or less likely?	5
<i>Probability</i>	5
<i>Probability concepts</i>	5
<i>Likelihood ratios</i>	6
<i>Statistical evidence</i>	7
<i>Combining evidence</i>	8
Step 4: Is there a clear reason for excluding the evidence despite its relevance?.....	11
(a) <i>Insufficient weight</i>	11
(b) <i>Disproportionate prejudice</i>	11
(c) <i>Securing the best evidence</i>	11
(d) <i>Procedural fairness</i>	12
(e) <i>Competing social values</i>	12
Step Five: How is the evidence to be dealt with in court?.....	12
<i>Onus and standard of proof</i>	12
<i>Ways of establishing facts</i>	13
<i>Course of trial</i>	13
2. HEARSAY	15
What is hearsay?	15
Is it hearsay?	16
Does it matter that it is hearsay?	17
Is it within the 1980 Amendment exceptions?.....	17
Is it within one of the individual statutory exceptions?	20
Is it within one of the common law exceptions?.....	21
<i>Continued role of the common law</i>	21
<i>Admissions and confessions</i>	21
<i>Res gestae</i>	22
<i>Other common law exceptions</i>	23
<i>Residual exception</i>	24
Reforming the hearsay rule – the Evidence Code.....	25
3. PRIVILEGE	27
What is the basis for privilege?.....	27
<i>The privilege against self-incrimination</i>	28
<i>Legal professional privilege</i>	30
Waiver.....	34
<i>Imputed waiver</i>	35
<i>Express partial waiver</i>	35
<i>Confidential relationships</i>	36
Confidential communications	39
Section 35 Evidence Amendment Act	39

4. EXPERT EVIDENCE	43
What is it?	43
Is the witness competent?	43
<i>Is the witness an expert?</i>	43
<i>Is the evidence within the witness's competency?</i>	43
What are the restrictions on the expert's testimony?	44
<i>Common Knowledge rule – will the evidence assist the jury?</i>	44
<i>Ultimate Issue Rule – is the expert taking over the Court's job?</i>	45
<i>A scientific basis for the expert's opinion?</i>	46
<i>A factual basis for the expert's opinion?</i>	47
<i>Section 23G – Expert opinion in sexual abuse cases. Should this provision remain?</i>	47
<i>The objectivity of experts: are they objective; should they be?</i>	49
<i>The problem with lack of neutrality</i>	49
<i>Objective expert evidence is desirable or at least unavoidable?</i>	50
<i>How effective are the possible solutions?</i>	51
5. COMPUTERS AND EVIDENCE	53
(a) Computers and hearsay	53
(b) Real evidence	54
<i>Reliability of computer-generated evidence</i>	54
(c) Devices for presenting evidence	57
<i>Scanned images</i>	57
<i>Computer presentations</i>	57
<i>Evidence recording</i>	58
<i>Closed circuit television and video-taped evidence-in-chief</i>	58
<i>Remote testimony from distance locations</i>	58
(d) Electronic transactions	59
<i>Electronic signatures</i>	59
<i>Electronic Transactions Act 2002</i>	60
6. WITNESS EVIDENCE	61
Introduction.....	61
Briefs of evidence	61
<i>When an exchange of briefs is appropriate</i>	61
<i>What briefs should contain</i>	61
<i>Relationship of briefs to schedules and exhibits</i>	61
Examination-in-chief	62
<i>Traditional examination-in-chief</i>	62
<i>Leading questions</i>	62
<i>Examination by having witness read a brief</i>	63
Refreshing memory.....	63
Prior consistent statements.....	65
Party relationship to witness	66
Unfavourable witnesses	67
Hostile witnesses.....	68
Cross-examination	69
<i>Characteristics of cross-examination</i>	69
<i>The limits of cross-examination</i>	71
<i>Dangers of cross-examination</i>	72
<i>Cross-examining on documents</i>	74

Prior inconsistent statements.....	76
<i>Purpose of using prior inconsistent statements</i>	76
<i>Oral prior inconsistent statements</i>	77
<i>Documentary prior inconsistent statements</i>	77
<i>Silent read XXM on PIS</i>	78
<i>XXM without production of the PIS as exhibit</i>	78
<i>Read/oral/produce XXM on PIS</i>	79
Collateral questions.....	80
Re-examination	81
7. GETTING IN YOUR PAPER EVIDENCE	83
Introduction.....	83
Private documents	83
Public documents	85
Photocopies, printing and photography	86
Common practice and the new High Court Rules	87
Reforms by the proposed Code of Evidence.....	88
8. PROPENSITY AND LIES	89
Propensity.....	89
Lies.....	92
Overlooking the cumulative effect of multiple probabilities	95
9. IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE	97
Identification evidence generally	97
Recognition	98
Identification parades	98
Photographic identification	99
<i>Police officers and photographic identification</i>	100
<i>Displacement effect</i>	100
Identification evidence at trial	102
<i>Identifying the accused during trial</i>	102
<i>Statutory protections</i>	102
<i>Dock identification</i>	102
The Evidence Code	103
Secondary identification	104
Voice identification evidence.....	104
APPENDIX 1.....	105
THE LAW OF EVIDENCE ON ONE PAGE	107
<i>Step 1: Is it evidence?</i>	107
<i>Step 2: What is the ultimate fact which this evidence is intended to make more likely or less likely?</i>	107
<i>Step 3: How does the evidence make that fact more likely or less likely?</i>	107
<i>Step 4: Is there a clear reason for excluding the evidence notwithstanding its relevance?</i>	107
<i>Step 5: How is the evidence to be processed in court?</i>	107
<i>Course of trial</i>	107